

### CASI: Project description

Public Participation in Developing a Common Framework for Assessment and Management of Sustainable Innovation (CASI)

**COORDINATOR:**  
ARC Fund, Bulgaria: Zoya Damianova.

**CONSORTIUM:**  
The CASI consortium consists of 19 partners representing 12 European countries. Country correspondents extend the reach to all EU-28 countries.

**FUNDING SCHEME:**  
Coordination and support action, funded under the 7th Framework Programme of the European Community, SCIENCE-IN-SOCIETY-2013.1.2

## Strengthening sustainable innovation policy with CASI tools

### Insights from and for Belgium

#### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Sustainable innovation has become a valued activity encompassing multiple stakeholder perspectives and innovation systems (Sarkis, Cordeiro & Brust 2010). Sustainable innovation forms the basis for the new solutions of and opportunities for the future although it has not yet achieved an established programmatic policy position. The CASI project has developed a set of tools, which can strengthen the development of sustainable innovation policy. This set of tools includes a data bank, a citizen engagement methodology, citizen agendas and a framework for sustainable innovation. This policy brief reviews and showcases how these SI tools can be used when strengthening sustainable innovation policy.

The CASI project has engaged policy makers and stakeholders in national-level events to foster dialogue on a wider societal engagement in sustainable innovation. Specific policy needs in sustainable innovation have been identified and formulated in these events, which have been organised in 12 European CASI partner countries. This policy brief describes these policy needs and highlights how CASI tools can support policy on sustainable innovation.

During a policy dialogue (organised in Spring 2016), participants identified the policy need to create space for and valorise participation to innovate. The CASI tools that facilitate a co-creative process and hence enable shared and complex leadership, in addition to recognize participants' (or community members') contribution seem to be most promising.

#### CASI TOOLS FOR STRENGTHENING SUSTAINABLE INNOVATION POLICY

#### APPLICATION OF TOOLS TO IDENTIFIED POLICY ISSUES

#### CASI TOOLS THAT SUPPORT A CO-CREATIVE PROCESS APPEAR PROMISING

## Introduction

### Sustainable innovation for new solutions of and opportunities for the future

Sustainable innovation has become an accepted and valued activity that encompasses innovation systems and multiple stakeholder perspectives (Sarkis, Cordeiro & Brust 2010). Innovation then lays ground for the new solutions of and opportunities for the future, and sustainability accounts for economic, environmental and social aspects of these solutions and opportunities.

SUSTAINABLE INNOVATION FOR  
NEW SOLUTIONS OF AND  
OPPORTUNITIES FOR THE FUTURE

It is in the interest of public policy to ensure that innovation is sustainable and that the outcomes it leads to are sustainable. However, sustainable innovation has not yet achieved an established programmatic policy position. Indeed, it can be argued that sustainability is a cross-cutting and emerging feature of established innovation policies and could make sustainability a horizontal cross-policy issue. In the European Union, for instance, policy focus is on design for innovation, the public procurement of and demand-side of innovation, as well as public sector, social and workplace innovation (EC 2017), all embracing sustainable innovation in one way or another.

The CASI project has developed a set of tools, which strengthen the development of sustainable innovation policy. This set of tools includes a data bank, a citizen engagement methodology, citizen agendas and a framework, all associated to sustainable innovation:

TOOLS TO STRENGTHEN THE  
DEVELOPMENT OF SUSTAINABLE  
INNOVATION

- **The CASIPEDIA** bank provides a state of the art of 500 mapped sustainable innovation initiatives
- **Citizen engagement methodology** developed for sustainable innovation
- **Citizen agendas for sustainable innovation**, which challenges expert agendas
- **The CASI-F** common framework for assessment and management of sustainable innovation.

INSIGHTS HOW CASI TOOLS CAN  
STRENGTHEN SI POLICY

This policy brief showcases how the policy tools developed in the CASI project can be applied when developing sustainable innovation policy. The intention of this exercise is to provide policy developers insights in how CASI tools can be used when strengthening sustainable innovation policy. Similar exercises matching SI policy needs and tools in 11 other European countries are summarized in Appendix 1.



## CASI tools for sustainable innovation policy

### FORWARD LOOKING TOOLS FOR POLICY DESIGN

This section describes the four forward-looking tools developed and tested in the CASI project for policy design: a database, a methodology, a prioritisation system, and a framework for management and assessment of sustainable innovations.

### CASIPEDIA: STATE OF THE ART OF SI CASES

**CASIPEDIA** is a database that presents the state of the art of sustainable innovation (SI) initiatives identified in EU-28 countries ([www.casi2020.eu/casipedia/](http://www.casi2020.eu/casipedia/)) encompassing over 200 publically available SI descriptions. Its strength is the focus on sustainable innovations rather than on innovations in general. The CASIPEDIA enables a scanning of SI initiatives. The SI initiatives are categorized according to type, key area corresponding to the sub-challenges of the societal challenge 5, and to success factors. The CASIPEDIA enables the search of initiatives based on their geographical scope (international, national, local) and country, as well as information related to the relevant sector of the SI cases. The CASI project has also identified sustainable innovation priority areas that have been compiled by the developers of the Casipedia databank (Popper, Velasco and Ravetz, 2016) from the European council decision establishing the programme implementation Horizon 2020 Societal Challenge 5 (European Union 2013), according to which each initiative is also categorized.

### CITIZEN ENGAGEMENT METHODOLOGY FOR SI

**Methodology for citizen engagement.** The CASI project has applied and further developed a methodology for citizen engagement for research in sustainable innovation. The methodology focuses on engaging the public in creating visions for sustainable futures, which form the basis for the design of research priorities for sustainable innovation. Its benefit is that it can bring fresh and novel views for decision making. The methodology includes citizen vision creation workshops, an expert workshop for the design of research priorities and a second citizen workshop for the validation of the results. This methodology presents a process of how to engage citizens in research formulation, which helps to increase the acceptability of research and innovation among the general public. It also supports the inclusiveness of the policy process. Through the engagement of the public in defining research policy, it is possible to reach more innovative and creative policy alternatives for research and innovation activities (Bedsted et al. 2016). This methodology is useful for policy makers looking for new alternatives and increased acceptance for policy measures.

### CITIZENS' SI AGENDAS FOR NOVEL IDEAS FOR POLICY DESIGN

**The Citizens' SI agendas** are top-10 listings of research priorities that were produced in each of the 12 CASI partner countries in citizen workshops (Matschoss et al. 2015). The agendas highlight topics that citizens in each country find important. These agendas can be used as check-lists in the design of policy measures to target desired impacts of policy. The utilisation of citizens' SI agendas in the policy cycle enforces the effectiveness of policy because they are specific, forward-looking and detailed. In addition, the agendas are already supported by the citizens, and often challenge the views of experts and thus bring novel insights into policy design.

### CASI-F COMMON FRAMEWORK FOR ASSESSMENT AND MANAGEMENT OF SUSTAINABLE INNOVATION

**CASI-F** is a tested common framework for the assessment and management of sustainable innovation. For policy development, it provides a tool for mapping policies, enabling an analysis of critical issues associated with these policies, and supporting the creation of actions and action roadmaps to address the critical issues (Popper, Velasco and Popper, 2016).



## Matching CASI tools with sustainable innovation policy need in Belgium

During a policy dialogue, organised in Spring 2016 at HIVA-KU Leuven, participants identified the policy need to create space for and valorise participation to innovate. After presenting the outline and participants of the policy dialogue, the following section discusses the potential usefulness of applying CASI tools to address this identified policy need.

During the policy dialogue policy makers and other key stakeholders stepped into a dialogue on the role of wider societal engagement in sustainable innovation. Several questions and issues were touched upon such as: Where are we when it comes to societal engagement in sustainable innovation in terms of policy? Where are we when it comes to societal engagement in sustainable innovation in terms of praxis? What barriers do we encounter when it comes to societal engagement in sustainable innovation? What opportunities can we identify when it comes to societal engagement in sustainable innovation? What are the weaknesses and strengths of current policies and praxis? What areas of improvement do we identify and what strategies can we develop to operationalize the ways for improvement? The questions were addressed by representatives from government, civil society, the private sector, and research institutes such as, among others, Bond Beter Leefmilieu, city administrations, Voka, VITO, KU Leuven, Provincie Vlaams Brabant, Ilanga, Sociale Innovatiefabriek, Leefstraten, Timelab, Federale Raad voor Duurzame Ontwikkeling.

Participants interviewed each other on the strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and threats of current policy and praxis of participation in sustainable innovation. Based on the collection of strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and threats, the participants discussed topics to further develop into a policy need. Two of those identified policy needs dealt with the importance of 'space' and 'valorisation' as enablers for participation and sustainable innovation.

Giving room and time to perform a sound system analysis and experiments is identified as key for sustainable innovations. Stakeholders should be equipped to leave time and space for other stakeholders to ensure the establishment of a community. Ensuring space deals with 'letting go' and supplying others with the opportunity to take up responsibility and to make decisions. Additionally, when co-creation is allowed, one must put aside efficiency as the primary goal to facilitate the development of a learning and experimenting organization. There are several conditions that are identified by the participants to ensure room and time to create sustainable innovations. One relates to a choice of and attitude towards being more 'open' to let go, listen to each other, recognize the value of the process, give others responsibility, share leadership and stimulate what is perceived as important by all actors. It is about shared and complex leadership. Another condition relates to building a funding scheme that allows for flexibility and uncertainty. It might be challenging to convince investors because they perhaps perceive it as risky and uncertain because the output and outcome are not clear-cut from the start.

STIMULATING THE DEVELOPMENT  
OF A LEARNING NETWORK ON  
PARTICIPATION IN SUSTAINABLE  
INNOVATION

POLICY NEED: HOW TO CREATE  
SPACE FOR AND VALORIZE  
PARTICIPATION TO INNOVATE



To strengthen the maintenance of participation, participants identified the need to create a societal bank account, a type of tool to valorise the efforts of stakeholders who contribute to sustainable bottom-up innovations. Two important conditions have been identified by the participants. Firstly, to being able to share and valorise participation, the knowledge and skills that have been developed within the community should be made open-source (The need for space to experiment and innovate was also identified as desirable by citizens in the citizen panel meetings organized in Leuven in 2015 during which citizens created their visions for a sustainable future.) Put differently, a decision-making model should be put in place that creates ownership. Closely related to the necessity to establish an open-source community platform and create ownership is the condition that members do inject capabilities into the community. Secondly, the time and resources that are invested in the sustainable innovation by the community members are time and resources that public authorities don't have to invest and should therefore be recognized and rewarded.

CASI tools can be useful when addressing this particular policy need in the following ways, which are presented in an order of usability (strategic, very useful, applicable):

- **Citizen engagement methodology** can be used to identify and share fears and dreams by community members. By building in a process that allows for time to think about and discuss fears and dreams individually and together, community members are also able to find out what they care about and perhaps are willing to take up responsibility for. Engaging community members in such a process could also positively affect their skills and attitude towards being more open to others and other perspectives. The methodology can also be adopted to make participation more visible and recognize the contributions and injected knowledge of citizens.

- **The CASI-F** framework can assist community members to jointly assess their sustainable innovations and build roadmaps in a co-creative process. CASI-F hence allows for 'space' for everyone to take part in the exercise of developing a roadmap and the decision about who should carry out which tasks or take up which responsibilities. Additionally, the framework urges community members to determine short, mid and long-term goals, which might help to decrease perceived risks and uncertainty. Jointly determining goals and making decisions about future steps can also be regarded as a decision-making model that creates ownership.

- **The CASIPEDIA** database provides examples of sustainable innovations. It can be valuable to verify whether other cases of sustainable innovation determined the importance of shared and complex leadership and co-creation as critical issues and how they developed policies (or actions) as a response to that need. Furthermore, it might be interesting to look whether other cases of sustainable innovation have experimented or implemented similar valorisation methods or tools like the idea of a societal bank account.

- **Citizen agendas for sustainable innovation** can serve as a point of reference for communities while leaving enough space and time for shared leadership and co-creation. Adhering to the citizen agendas for sustainable innovation can be regarded as an approach to valorise participation.

APPLICATION OF CASI TOOLS TO  
DEVELOP POLICIES THAT CREATE  
SPACE FOR PARTICIPATION AND  
ALLOW FOR SHARED LEADERSHIP

CASI TOOLS CAN INTEGRATE SPACE FOR AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF PARTICIPATION IN SUSTAINABLE INNOVATION

## Conclusion

This policy brief has reviewed and showcased how CASI tools can be used when strengthening sustainable innovation policy. It is one of a set of 12 policy briefs, which carry out the same exercise and are summarized in Appendix 1.

Participants of the policy dialogue, organised in Leuven in Spring 2016, determined a prominent policy need to ensure space for and valorise participation to innovate. Both aspects of this policy need address process related critical issues that should be addressed if one wants to support sustainable innovations. The CASI tools appear promising when addressing this policy need. Especially the CASI-F tool for assessment and management of sustainable innovation and the citizen engagement methodology seem supportive to address the set of sub-conditions that have been determined by the participants.

## Recommendations

- Community builders should adopt participation methods and co-creation tools, such as the CASI citizen engagement methodology and CASI-F, to facilitate shared and complex leadership that ensures all community members share and are responsible for supporting sustainable innovation
- Governments should incorporate participation methods and co-creation tools, such as the CASI citizen engagement methodology and CASI-F, to stimulate sustainable innovation policy that values process outcomes
- Governments and research institutions should support research about the concept of a societal bank account, as a concept with high potential to valorize the participation of community stakeholders in sustainable innovation
- Governments at local, regional or national level should build databases, such as CASIPEDIA, to benchmark sustainable innovation initiatives and stimulate learning across sustainable innovations from good and bad practices



## Further Reading and References:

1. Bedsted, B., Ibsen-Jensen, J., Kloppenborg, E., Kyhn, B., Kaarakainen, M., Matschoss, K. & Repo, P. (2017). European Citizens' Visions for a Sustainable EU Future. Research Priorities and Policy Advice -D3.3. Deliverable 3.3, CASI project. <http://www.casi2020.eu/library/#d10104>
2. EC (2017). Innovation policies. Accessed 1.3.2017 at [https://ec.europa.eu/growth/industry/innovation/policy\\_en](https://ec.europa.eu/growth/industry/innovation/policy_en)
3. European Union (2013). COUNCIL DECISION of 3 December 2013 establishing the specific programme implementing Horizon 2020 - the Framework Programme for Research and Innovation (2014-2020) and repealing Decisions 2006/971/EC, 2006/972/EC, 2006/973/EC, 2006/974/EC and 2006/975/EC. Official Journal of the European Union L 347/965-1041. 20.12.2013.
4. Ivanov, K., Damianova, Z., Asenova, D. & Kozarev, V. (2017). Mutual Learning Seminars - Aggregated Report. Deliverable 3.2, CASI project. Accessed 1.3.2017 at <http://www.casi2020.eu/app/web1/files/download/casi-d3-2-mutual-learning-seminars-aggregated-report.pdf>
5. Matschoss, K., Repo, P. & Kaarakainen, M., Kloppenborg, E., Ibsen-Jensen, J. & Kyhn, B. (2015). Citizen Assessment of Priorities for European Research. A report of the CASI-project. Accessed 1.3.2017 at <http://www.casi2020.eu/library/#d7200>.
6. Popper, R., Velasco, G. and Popper, M. (2016). CASI-F: Common Framework for Assessment and Management of Sustainable Innovation, Deliverable 6.2, CASI project. Accessed 9.5.2017 at <http://www.casi2020.eu/library/#d10467>
7. Popper, R., Velasco, G. and Ravetz, J. (2016). State of the Art of Sustainable Innovation: Climate action, Environment, Resource Efficiency and Raw Materials. Deliverable 2.1, CASI project. Accessed 1.3.2017 at <http://www.casi2020.eu/library/#d8838>.
8. Sarkis, J., Cordeiro, J. J. and Brust, D. V. Eds. (2010). Facilitating Sustainable Innovation through Collaboration: A Multi-Stakeholder Perspective. Dordrecht: Springer.
9. Policy briefs from 12 countries on CASI tools for sustainable innovation policy needs are available at [www.casi2020.eu](http://www.casi2020.eu).



## CASI Project Description

### CASI: PROJECT DESCRIPTION

**PROJECT TITLE:** Public Participation in Developing a Common Framework for Assessment and Management of Sustainable Innovation (CASI)

**COORDINATOR:** ARC Fund, Bulgaria: Zoya Damianova.

**CONSORTIUM:** The CASI consortium consists of 19 partners representing 12 European countries. Country correspondents extend the reach to 28 countries.

**FUNDING SCHEME:** Coordination and support action, funded under the 7th Framework Programme of the European Community, SCIENCE-IN-SOCIETY-2013.1.2-1.

**DURATION:** 42 months, 1/2014-6/2017

**BUDGET:** 4.5 M€, 428 person month

**REFERENCE:** Van Eynde, Sarah; Ramioul, Monique; Repo, Petteri; Matschoss, Kaisa (2017). CASI policy brief: Strengthening sustainable innovation policy with CASI tools: Insights for and from Belgium.

**WEBSITE:** [www.casi2020.eu](http://www.casi2020.eu)

**EDITORIAL BOARD 2017:** Danish Board of Technology: Bjørn Bedsted; META Group: Anita

Tregner-Mlinaric; Zentrum für Soziale Innovation GmbH: Maria Schwarz-Woelzl; KU Leuven: Sarah Van Eynde; University of Helsinki: Minna Kaarakainen

## Contacts

**Name and Surname:** Van Eynde, Sarah and Ramioul, Monique

**Organisation:** KU Leuven

**E-mail:** [sarah.vaneynde@kuleuven.be](mailto:sarah.vaneynde@kuleuven.be)

**Address of the organisation:** Parkstraat 47, 3000 Leuven, Belgium



**Appendix 1 Strengthening sustainable innovation policy with CASI tools in selected countries.**

<b>CASI Tool</b>	<b>Response</b>	<b>Evaluation</b>
<b>AUSTRIA</b>		
Sparkling Innovation’ - Secure confidence in public participation processes and their effectiveness The recommendation is directly targeted at six Austrian ministries and calls for the establishment of a trans-sectorial effort to push both SI and public participation forward.		
CITIZEN ENGAGEMENT	Tools can assist in the engagement of different societal stakeholder groups and foster public interest in participation, especially in SI. Target groups could become a new driving force for SI.	Strategic
CASI-F / Roadmap methodology	It supports the definition of targeted actions and the development of an action roadmap for different stakeholder groups when it comes to the create confidence in public participation processes on various levels, including SI.	Very useful
CASIPEDIA	Offers examples of participation and societal engagement in general and in sustainable actions in particular. These examples include participatory budgeting, environmental education, environmental and energy management processes and many more.	Very useful
CITIZEN SI AGENDAS	Can support the definition and prioritisation of areas of public participation and the collection of ideas.	Applicable
<b>BELGIUM</b>		
To create space for and valorise participation to innovate		
CITIZEN ENGAGEMENT	Engaging community members by using tools of citizen engagement can positively affect skills and attitudes towards being more open to others and other perspectives. The methodology can also be adopted to make participation more visible and recognize the contributions and injected knowledge of citizens.	Strategic
CASI-F / Roadmap methodology	CASI-F allows for ‘space’ for everyone to take part in the exercise of developing a roadmap and the decision about who should carry out which tasks or take up which responsibilities. it can be adopted as a decision-making model that creates ownership.	Very useful
CASIPEDIA	It can be used to benchmark sustainable innovations. It also supports learning from other sustainable innovations’ solutions to the need of valorisation and ensuring shared leadership.	Applicable
CITIZEN SI AGENDAS	It can serve as a point of reference and regarded as an approach to valorise participation.	Applicable

CASI Tool	Response	Evaluation
<b>BULGARIA</b>		
Promote active engagement of citizens, CSOs and other stakeholders in the policy making processes in Bulgaria, in particular those in the field of science, technology and innovation.		
CITIZEN ENGAGEMENT	The methodology can be replicated in the process of developing a platform for societal engagement in the co-creation of programmes and priority setting.	Very useful
CASI-F / Roadmap methodology	CASI-F can help build capacity for designing, implementing and evaluating actions, which take into account citizens' and/or stakeholder' perspectives and integrate these to attain sustainability of the achieved outcomes.	Strategic
CASIPEDIA	CASIPEDIA illustrates the significance of building multi-stakeholder alliances and platforms for incorporating various perspectives in the process of design and implementation of generated innovations.	Applicable
CITIZEN SI AGENDAS	The SI agendas can contribute to strengthening the connection between science and society and demonstrate the value of public engagement in the processes of R&I and sustainability policies.	Strategic
<b>CZECH REPUBLIC</b>		
Reduce fragmentation and improve coordination and collaboration between societal actors to facilitate knowledge co-creation and exchange and more effective and evidence-based decision-making in relation to sustainable bio-fuel and renewable energy solutions.		
CITIZEN ENGAGEMENT METHODOLOGY	Engaging citizens in science and policy-making empowers them and helps them recognise their role, rights and also duties. However, given the level of granularity and specific research areas and topics related to bio-fuel and renewable energy solutions, while the methodology may be useful to raise awareness and understanding amongst citizens, it should be combined with other perspectives and approaches (e.g. critical issue analysis) in order to deliver more robust and legitimate results.	Strategic

CASI Tool	Response	Evaluation
CASI-F	The 5-step approach of CASI-F can be used to improve the assessment of sustainable bio-fuel and renewable energy solutions and support managerial decisions at different levels for the quadruple-helix of SI actors. For policy-makers, the results from the analysis of co-created knowledge and insights can serve to address wider systemic issues through the identification and formulation of SI priorities and R&I Policy Agendas. The quadruple-helix approach to SI agendas can be used to identify the alignment of innovators' goals/objectives with those of policy makers and research community, as well as civil society, in order to improve the coordination of actions targeting societal challenges, break down the barriers of silo mentality and promote collaboration rather than competition between SI actors.	Strategic
CASIPEDIA	Collaboratively assessing the sustainability of solutions to create sustainable bio-fuel and renewable energy through a fully-fledged multi-criteria analysis and assessment of practices, outcomes and key players associated to mapped solutions. The co-creation of knowledge is of particular importance in the context of renewable energies given its wide application across various areas and sectors.	Strategic
CITIZEN SI AGENDAS	The agendas can be a useful input for policy-makers when combined with different perspectives and scientific research on bio-fuel and renewable energy solutions. Mapping CASI citizen priorities against innovators' objectives, Horizon 2020 priorities and policy insights from CASI policy briefs, allowed integrating and reflecting a multi-actor perspective in the formulation and prioritisation of R&I policy agendas for SI. To further increase the usability of citizen priorities for policy-making, a systematic and comprehensive assessment and analysis of critical issues related to citizen visions could be performed using the CASI-F approach.	Strategic
<b>DENMARK</b>		
How to achieve more co-ownership to sustainable innovation?		
CITIZEN ENGAGEMENT	Can help foster co-ownership among citizens by engaging them in setting priorities for sustainable innovation.	Strategic
CASI-F / Roadmap methodology	Can help innovators identify ways to create co-ownership to their innovations.	Applicable
CASIPEDIA	Provides a number of useful examples of how to foster co-ownership through public engagement.	Very useful

CASI Tool	Response	Evaluation
CITIZEN SI AGENDAS	Provide useful evidence for the clear wish among both Danish and other European citizens to be actors in the transition to a more sustainable society.	Very useful
<b>FINLAND</b>		
How to create experimentation where users are engaged and can have an influence? Funding, transparency, engagement, user innovation		
CITIZEN ENGAGEMENT	Tool for citizen engagement that targets priority setting (especially for funding, supports transparency, accomplishes engagement)	Strategic
CASI-F / Roadmap methodology	Can assist in the implementation of targeted experiments (mapping issues, identifying critical issues and creating actions and action roadmaps, support for achieving experimental activities and engaging users)	Very useful
CASIPEDIA	Examples for funding, evaluation of funding schemes, examples of user innovations, look for best practices in SI (especially as benchmark against existing initiatives)	Very useful
CITIZEN SI AGENDAS	Can assist in the definition of the area of experimentation (allocation of research and innovation funding, provide ideas based on what citizens have prioritised)	Applicable
<b>GERMANY</b>		
Creating new forms of dialogue and joint solution development between different stakeholder groups/Involvement and empowerment of civil society and citizens		
CITIZEN ENGAGEMENT	Low-threshold approach to engage and empower citizens; can be a constructive way of engagement (regulatory level); creates an open process and could be one part of the establishment of a 'culture of participation' (see the results from the policy dialogue)	Strategic
CASI-F / Roadmap methodology	Can foster new forms of cooperation between different stakeholder groups through raising awareness about the importance of their involvement; shows possibilities of implementation	Strategic
CASIPEDIA	The database can be useful as orientation of existing initiatives and best practice example	Applicable
CITIZEN SI AGENDAS	Empowerment of citizens, support the enabling of citizens to influence policy making and that their ideas will be taken seriously; new form of joint solution development (between citizens, civil society, science and politics)	Strategic
<b>ITALY</b>		

CASI Tool	Response	Evaluation
Bridging the gap in between citizens and policy makers leading towards increasing populism (in negative terms). Need for developing bottom up policy approach and measures.		
CITIZEN ENGAGEMENT	CASI citizen engagement methodology could be used as pre-prepared tool for the planned activities that would be including public engagement, as well as a base for the training activities on the topic, including raising of awareness and promotion.	Strategic
CASI-F / Roadmap methodology	CASI-F was recognised by Italian stakeholders as an innovative sharing tool aimed at supporting the innovation processes through sharing of new experiences with different actors and assessing the state of advancement of projects and contributes to the design of the new ones.	Applicable
CASIPEDIA	Found as an inspiration for further improvement of the policy structures/tools and measures.	Very useful
CITIZEN SI AGENDAS	Can be used as a benchmark in the design of the future policy measures and tools in order to align the planned developments and measures with the expectation of citizens.	Applicable
<b>POLAND</b>		
Efficient tools assisting wide public participation in policy-making		
CITIZEN ENGAGEMENT	Citizen engagement methodology addresses the key need and challenges identified by the stakeholders in Poland in most comprehensive and exhaustive way. It is a practically ready for adoption complete methodology focused on involving both non-professionals and experts into the process of setting-up strategic priorities. This participative methodology, being far different from what is commonly used in Poland as public consultations, empowers the participants and creates the ownership of the results (policy priorities, objectives) through the collaborative process. It addresses raised issues of reaching different social milieus and adjusting the information to the target groups. Also the Citizens Panels as an inclusive exercise have potential to convince people that they have a real influence on policy-making process.	Strategic
CASI-F / Roadmap methodology	The framework for assessment and management of sustainable innovation (CASI-F) build on CASIPEDIA cases from different countries has a potential of analyzing specific area of policy-making process in Poland in a larger context of international activities on strategic and operational levels. Mapping process and identification of critical issues can be an inspiring exercise and fuel the discussion with an external perspective.	Very useful

CASI Tool	Response	Evaluation
CASIPEDIA	The framework for assessment and management of sustainable innovation (CASI-F) build on CASIPEDIA cases from different countries has a potential of analyzing specific area of policy-making process in Poland in a larger context of international activities on strategic and operational levels. Mapping process and identification of critical issues can be an inspiring exercise and fuel the discussion with an external perspective.	Very useful
CITIZEN SI AGENDAS	Citizen agendas for sustainable innovation seem to respond to the issue of providing attractive information and education activities with engagement of citizens and can be treated as applicable in terms of addressing policy need. The top-10 research priorities elaborated by experts following the citizens' visions of the future and validated by Citizens' Panels are tangible illustration of how the wide public participation in policy-making delivers specific results and therefore can serve as a good practice mobilizing groups of people from different backgrounds.	Applicable
<b>PORTUGAL</b>		
Identified policy need is a suitable communication that could be used to promote societal engagement activities on sustainable innovation with a large coverage and to reach the target audience, enabling policymakers to tackle this barrier more easily, consisting : (1) Low visibility for target audience; (2) Unclear language in communications (often too formal and complex); (3) Limited audiences that are reached via some channels, but reluctance to try new approaches that might attract new stakeholders; (4) Giving value to the public opinion by taking the outputs of societal engagement into account in decision-making (Based on 'Listen, reply, act' model). The citizen engagement methodology promoted within CASI, as well as the CASI-F framework may provide key guidance throughout the process of reaching the correct audience and communicating to them in an appealing manner.		
CITIZEN ENGAGEMENT	Is the most useful CASI result for setting priorities related with societal engagement and influence in the domain of sustainable innovation management. This methodology can also support transparency in policy development and lead to further engagement of users, as innovators. Making use of citizen engagement methodology can be considered of strategic importance for these reasons.	Strategic and very useful
CASI-F / Roadmap methodology	Is an excellent methodology for building an Action Roadmap. With this model, the innovator will be creating a detailed plan focusing on the implementation of one or several actions from a management perspective considering four key dimensions (context, people, process and impact), thus guaranteeing the successful management of a given sustainable innovation. It is the way to plan the needed steps for the future, helping the innovator focusing on building strong and justified practices (involving other stakeholders) and measuring its success.	Very useful

CASI Tool	Response	Evaluation
CASIPEDIA	Is a database of initiatives and examples of sustainable innovation, which collects more than 500 entries at EU-level and serves as a source of inspiration for many other innovations and/or projects. May be particularly useful as a starting point for setting up the motto for societal engagement activities.	Very useful
CITIZEN SI AGENDAS		Not mentioned in the policy brief
<b>SLOVENIA</b>		
Holistic approach towards public consultation		
CITIZEN ENGAGEMENT	This tool is considered applicable for development of unified methodology for organisation of public consultations. A systematic approach towards citizen engagement could be obtained.	Strategic
CASI-F / Roadmap methodology	Useful tool which could be used for strategical planning, assessment and future management and development of the public consultations.	Very useful
CASIPEDIA	Applicable for planning public consultations as well as for the assessment of results of public consultation.	Applicable
CITIZEN SI AGENDAS	The tool is considered applicable in planning and evaluation	Applicable
<b>UNITED KINGDOM</b>		
To ensure the 'Brexit' process pays attention to globally impactful environmental issues and the need to promote a more inclusive, innovative and reflective society in the UK.		
CITIZEN ENGAGEMENT METHODOLOGY	Achieving a better understanding of strategies and mechanisms that would maintain people engaged and committed to citizens' science despite the sense of detachment that abandoning European science policies and funds may create in many of them.	Strategic
CASI-F	CASI-F could help identifying what sort of initiatives may be implemented by the quadruple helix of SI actors i.e. government, business, civil society, and research & education, to strengthen the UK society in this unpredictable political and social context. The multiple-stakeholder dimension of CASI-F enables not only the identification of these initiatives but also the elaboration of short-medium-to-long-term action plans.	Strategic

<b>CASI Tool</b>	<b>Response</b>	<b>Evaluation</b>
CASIPEDIA	Scanning, mapping and recording in the CASIPEDIA database sustainable innovation activities (practices, players and outcomes) enable the framework to assist UK policy makers in the formulation of more efficient and evidence-based policies.	Strategic
CITIZEN SI AGENDAS	Making more dynamic and open the participation of citizens in social groups and communities serves to guarantee that a wider variety of people's expectations and concerns are heard.	Strategic

Appendix 1 summarises relevant and representative policies and initiatives in selected countries participating in the CASI project. Each of these summaries is presented in greater detail in respective national level policy briefs available on the CASI website at [www.CASI2020.eu](http://www.CASI2020.eu). A more in-depth European level policy brief is also available on the site.